

BIJAL V. VAKIL (CA State Bar No. 192878)
bvakil@whitecase.com
ALLEN WANG (CA State Bar No. 278953)
awang@whitecase.com
WHITE & CASE LLP
3000 El Camino Real
Five Palo Alto Square, 9th Floor
Palo Alto, CA 94306-2109
Telephone: (650) 213-0300
Facsimile: (650) 213-8158

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Google Inc.

Jonathan Baker (CA State Bar No. 196062)
FARNEY DANIELS PC
411 Borel Avenue, Suite 350
San Mateo, California 94402
Telephone: (424) 278-5200
jbaker@farneydaniels.com

Counsel for Creative Labs, Inc. and Creative Technology Ltd.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

GOOGLE INC.,

Plaintiff,

v

CREATIVE LABS, INC. and
CREATIVE TECHNOLOGY LTD

Defendants

Case No. 3:16-cv-02628-JST

**STIPULATION AND
[PROPOSED] ORDER
REQUESTING ENLARGEMENT
OF TIME TO RESPOND TO
COMPLAINT AND
RESCHEDULING THE CASE
MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE
PURSUANT TO L.R. 6-2**

1 Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 6(b) and Civil Local Rules 6-1(b), 6-2, and
2 7-12, counsel for Google, Inc. (“Google”) and counsel for Creative Labs, Inc. and Creative
3 Technology Ltd. have met and conferred regarding an extension of time for the Creative entities
4 to respond to the Complaint.

5 Creative Labs, Inc. was served with the Summons and Complaint on June 9, 2016, with a
6 response originally due on June 30, 2016.

7 Creative Technology Ltd. is based in Singapore. As Singapore is not a signatory to the
8 Hague Service Convention, Singapore-based entities may be served only through Letters
9 Rogatory or private process service. For efficiency, to improve judicial economy, to give
10 Creative Technology Ltd. fair and adequate time to file its answer or otherwise respond to the
11 Complaint, and to alleviate the burden of serving a foreign entity, the parties agree to have the
12 response from both Creative Labs, Inc. and Creative Technology Ltd. due on the same date, and
13 have further agreed to a 75-day extension. Counsel for Creative has agreed to accept service for
14 Creative Technology Ltd. No party will be prejudiced by this extension.

15 The parties hereby jointly stipulate, agree, and request an order from the Court as follows:

16 1. Creative Labs, Inc. and Creative Technology Ltd. shall have up to and including
17 September 13, 2016 to file an answer or otherwise respond to the Complaint.

18 2. The Case Management Conference currently scheduled for August 46, 2016 shall
19 be rescheduled to Ugr vgo dgt "4: ."42380

20 IT IS SO STIPULATED.

21 Dated: June 29, 2016

Respectfully submitted,

22 By: /s/ Bijal V. Vakil
23 Bijal V. Vakil

24 Attorney for Plaintiff
Google Inc.
25
26
27
28

1 By: /s/ Jonathan D. Baker
2 Jonathan D. Baker

3 Attorney for Defendants
4 Creative Labs, Inc. and
5 Creative Technology Ltd.

ATTESTATION FOR SIGNATURE

6 Pursuant to Civil L.R. 5-1(i)(3), I attest under penalty of perjury that concurrence in the
7 filing of this document has been obtained from the other signatory.

8 Dated: June 29, 2016

9
10
11
12 /s/ Bijal V. Vakil
13 Bijal V. Vakil
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.

Date: June 29, 2016


Hon. Jon S. Tigar, U.S.D.J.